

Gender-Specific Practice Guidelines for Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery: Perioperative Management*

Fred H. Edwards, MD, Victor A. Ferraris, MD, PhD, David M. Shahian, MD, Eric Peterson, MD, Anthony P. Furnary, MD, Constance K. Haan, MD, and Charles R. Bridges, MD, ScD

Division of Cardiothoracic Surgery, University of Florida, Jacksonville, Florida; Division of Cardiovascular & Thoracic Surgery, University of Kentucky Chandler Medical Center, Lexington, Kentucky; Department of Surgery, Caritas St. Elizabeth's Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts; Duke Clinical Research Institute, Durham, North Carolina; Starr-Wood Cardiac Group of Portland, PC, Portland, Oregon; University of Pennsylvania Health System, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Gender differences in coronary bypass surgery have been the focus of numerous publications in recent years. Unfortunately these publications have contradictions that leave surgeons with conflicting recommendations for care. To help resolve these inconsistencies, The Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS) Workforce on Evidence-Based Surgery has carried out an objective review of published information in this field. The STS Workforce recognizes that there are important gender issues associ-

ated with referral bias, the impact of body size, psychosocial factors, and postoperative support, but the intent of this guideline is to focus specifically on perioperative management. As with all practice guidelines, our goal is to gather the most important information, analyze the information in a logical and unbiased fashion, and make recommendations based solely on the available evidence.

(Ann Thorac Surg 2005;79:2189-94)

© 2005 by The Society of Thoracic Surgeons

Background

Coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery has gained widespread acceptance as an effective treatment option for both men and women. There is considerable evidence that women carry a higher CABG operative mortality as compared with men [1-10]. The issue is far from settled. Numerous studies [1, 2, 4, 6-10] report either unadjusted or adjusted CABG mortality to be higher in women. Four of these reports [1, 4, 6, 8] showed that unadjusted mortality rates were higher in women, but after statistical risk adjustment, the mortality rates were not significantly different. Two of these studies [2, 10] demonstrated statistically significant gender differences in both unadjusted and adjusted outcomes. Other reports [11-15] found that unadjusted mortality rates showed no gender difference.

Even with these conflicting studies, one finds considerable evidence to indicate that the female population will generally have a greater operative risk than the male population. The data from The STS National Cardiac Surgery Database [16] for 2002 reveals a CABG operative mortality of 3.54% for women versus 2.15% mortality for men. Recognizing that approximately 88,000 women undergo CABG procedures annually in the United States [17], one can show that if the operative mortality of women could be reduced to that of men, the lives of over 1,200 women

would be saved each year. Certainly all would agree that it is vitally important to search out ways to reduce CABG operative mortality in the female population.

Fortunately the last decade has produced a surge of public interest and scientific research in women's health, including important gender issues related to CABG. It is now well accepted that there are major differences in the risk profile of men compared with the profile of women undergoing CABG procedures [2, 7, 8, 11, 18, 19]. Even when both genders share a common risk factor, the relative impact of a risk factor is often quite different in men as compared with women [2, 20, 21]. Furthermore, an intervention to medically address the same risk factor may evoke a very different response between the genders [6, 9]. Perhaps most importantly, a given postoperative complication appears to have a much more deleterious effect on women compared with men who have the same complication [6, 9].

An evidence-based analysis of these findings brings several opportunities into focus to provide specific benefits for women undergoing surgical revascularization. For each practice parameter, the workforce has (1) drawn conclusions supported by the evidence, (2) provided a statement regarding the ideal clinical practice associated with the practice, and (3) designated the classification and level of evidence. The criteria used to classify and assign the level of evidence are presented in Appendix A.

Use of the Internal Mammary Artery

The use of at least one internal mammary artery (IMA) confers both in-hospital and long-term improvement in CABG mortality [22, 23]. In spite of this well-known fact, women receive an IMA conduit in only 60% to 75% of cases

*For the full text of the Gender-Specific Practice Guidelines for Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery: Perioperative Management, as well as other titles in the STS Practice Guideline Series, visit <http://www.sts.org/sections/aboutthesociety/practiceguidelines/> at the official STS website (www.sts.org).

Address reprint requests to Dr Edwards, Cardiothoracic Surgery, University of Florida, Shands Jacksonville, Jacksonville, FL 32209; e-mail: fred.edwards@jax.ufl.edu.

[2, 4, 6, 11, 12, 15, 24]. This is significantly less than the IMA use in men, thereby creating increased risk in the female population.

The presence of smaller vessels in the female population is often proposed as a reason to avoid IMA use in women, but there is little evidence to substantiate that position. In fact, it has been shown that IMA size is approximately equal in men and women [25], and women are no more likely than men to have native coronary vessels less than 1.5 mm in diameter [15, 26]. One concludes that the technical challenge in creating an IMA anastomosis should be similar in both the male and female CABG populations.

In most series, there is a higher rate of non-elective CABG in women [2, 6, 8, 11-13]. This should not serve as a deterrent against use of the IMA, because it is usually quite safe to use the IMA when urgent and emergency operations are being performed [27, 28].

Perhaps the presence of a soft, friable sternum that predisposes to sternal dehiscence is a valid reason to avoid use of the IMA [15]. Other reasons may include a history of sternal radiation treatments or significant arteriosclerotic disease of the proximal mammary artery. These circumstances should be distinctly uncommon.

The importance of the IMA may be illustrated in a recent study by Aldea and colleagues [12]. In this study, an IMA conduit was used in 91% of women undergoing CABG. They found no gender difference in either crude or adjusted operative mortality. In a 1997 study from Sweden, [4] the IMA was equally used in men and women. The adjusted 30-day mortality rate was approximately equal in these men and women. The 5-year survival rates were also virtually equal in men and women. In these studies, one cannot unequivocally attribute the absence of gender mortality differences solely to the high IMA usage in women, but this almost certainly played an important salutary role.

There is no objective reason to use the IMA less frequently in women than men. Current evidence indicates that excess CABG mortality in women can be substantially mitigated with increased use of the IMA, particularly when the left IMA is used to bypass the left anterior descending coronary artery.

CONCLUSION. The internal mammary artery is underutilized in women undergoing CABG procedures. The internal mammary artery confers a protective effect that is associated with a significant reduction in CABG mortality as compared with surgical revascularization with venous conduits alone.

IDEAL CLINICAL PRACTICE. Whenever it is technically possible, at least one internal mammary artery is used in every CABG procedure to bypass a stenotic coronary artery. (Class I, Level B.)

Management of Hyperglycemia

The association of diabetes with adverse postoperative outcomes is well-known in many surgical specialties, but the sequelae in CABG operations are particularly devastating. There is a clear association with operative mortality [2,

6, 29, 30] as well as mediastinitis and soft tissue wound infections [31-35].

The great majority of studies show that diabetes is 40% to 50% more common in women than men undergoing CABG [1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 11]. Importantly, the adverse clinical impact of diabetes is more pronounced in diabetic women as compared with diabetic men [20].

There is now considerable evidence that diabetic complications and CABG mortality are linked to the degree of perioperative hyperglycemia [29, 31-33, 35, 36]. Recent studies have shown that the risk of death after CABG is independently related to the degree of perioperative hyperglycemia [29]. Furnary and colleagues [32, 36] have made a strong argument that the true risk factor is not diabetes per se, but rather hyperglycemia with its attendant glycometabolic impairment and relative over-utilization of free fatty acids that causes the incremental risk in CABG mortality. In addition, hyperglycemia in the first 2 postoperative days is the single most important predictor of mediastinitis after cardiac surgery [32, 35]. In this case the impact of hyperglycemia is directly related to the detrimental effects that high blood glucose levels have on both the immune system and wound healing.

Traditionally, surgeons treating diabetic patients intentionally allowed perioperative blood glucose levels in the 250 to 300 mg/dL range in order to avoid risking profound hypoglycemia. However, there is good evidence that both death and infectious complications can be minimized by more strict control of blood glucose. Perioperative continuous intravenous insulin infusions to maintain blood glucose levels well below 200 mg/dL in postoperative diabetic patients have been shown to independently reduce the incidence of mediastinitis by 66% [32, 33, 35] and the operative mortality by 57% [36]. In one study, the controlled use of continuous insulin infusions resulted in a 50% reduction in the operative mortality of diabetic patients undergoing CABG. It was estimated that the use of perioperative insulin infusions to tightly control blood glucose levels resulted in 21 lives saved for each 1,000 patients [36].

The optimal blood glucose level in this clinical context has not been firmly established, but all authorities believe the level should be below 200 mg/dL. It appears that levels in the range of 100 to 150 mg/dL are particularly beneficial [31-33, 35, 36].

Because diabetes is more common in women compared with men undergoing CABG, the use of these continuous insulin infusions would predominantly benefit the female CABG population. This should be a particularly important intervention because the sequelae of diabetes are more pronounced in diabetic women as compared with diabetic men [20].

CONCLUSION. Perioperative blood glucose levels > 150 mg/dL are associated with increased operative morbidity and mortality.

IDEAL CLINICAL PRACTICE. Perioperative blood glucose levels are maintained in the range of 100 to 150 mg/dL. (Class I, Level B.)

Intraoperative Management of Anemia

Even mild anemia in ischemic or unrevascularized patients is associated with an increased risk of postoperative death [37]. During the course of a CABG procedure, hematocrit levels are typically lowest during the period of cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB). Although some degree of hemodilution anemia is desirable, it appears that hematocrit levels below 22% during bypass are strongly associated with operative mortality and other postoperative complications [37, 38].

It has been shown that women have lower hematocrit levels than men presenting for CABG [12, 37-39]. Furthermore, the smaller body size of women results in greater intraoperative hemodilution from the pump prime solution. These factors combine to produce very low hematocrit values in women undergoing cardiopulmonary bypass. Recent studies provide firm clinical evidence that women are significantly more likely than men to have profound anemia during CPB [37, 38]. In the series reported by Habib and colleagues [38] the average nadir hematocrit in women was 18.7% as compared with 23.1% in men undergoing CABG ($p < 0.001$). In this study, the operative mortality was 3.3% in women versus 1.9% in men ($p < 0.001$). Both DeFoe and colleagues [37] and Habib and colleagues [38] suggest that a major portion of the excess mortality observed in women may well be due to the more profound intraoperative anemia seen in women.

It appears that keeping the nadir hematocrit $> 22\%$ during CPB will provide a survival benefit that particularly targets the female population. The nadir value may well be higher than 22%, but at this point, there is no objective evidence to support nadir hematocrit values more than 22%. Approaches to raise the red blood cell concentration may include standard hemoconcentration methods perhaps augmented by modified ultrafiltration. Habib and colleagues [38] suggest minimizing the pump prime volume by directly modifying the CPB circuitry. Blood transfusions during CPB deserve consideration, but this should be weighed against the possible adverse events associated with transfusions. It is particularly noteworthy that transfusions given in the perioperative CABG period may be associated with long-term mortality [40].

CONCLUSION. Intraoperative hematocrit levels below 22% are associated with an increased incidence of adverse events.

IDEAL CLINICAL PRACTICE. Efforts are made to ensure adequate intraoperative hematocrit levels. (Class IIa, Level B.)

Use of Off-Pump CABG

There is evidence indicating that women may have better outcomes with off-pump coronary artery bypass graft (OPCAB) procedures than with conventional CABG surgery [24, 41-44]. In a large multi-institutional study entirely comprised of women, Brown and colleagues [42] found that women undergoing OPCAB had an operative mortality that was 42% lower than a risk-matched group of women undergoing conventional CABG ($p < 0.05$). In a retrospec-

tive review of 181 women and 232 men, Athanasiou and colleagues [41] found that female gender was not a predictor of operative mortality in patients undergoing OPCAB. Mack and colleagues [44] recently reported a retrospective examination of 7,376 women undergoing either OPCAB or conventional CABG. Using a propensity score matching approach, multivariate logistic regression revealed that women undergoing on-pump surgery had a 73.3% higher mortality ($p = 0.002$) and a 47.2% higher risk of bleeding complications ($p = 0.019$). Capdeville and colleagues [43] retrospectively reviewed results of 187 patients undergoing OPCAB. He found that the operative mortality was more than three times higher in women (3.3% for women vs 0.8% for men), but this did not reach statistical significance ($p = 0.25$).

Although OPCAB surgery seems to offer some promise, it should be mentioned that patient selection has been suboptimal in all studies. Brown and colleagues [42] specifically mention that their use of administrative data for risk-matching is suboptimal, and they note that the on-pump group in their study may well have had a higher severity-of-illness index than the OPCAB group. In the study by Mack and colleagues [44], several important risk factors including ejection fraction, myocardial infarction, and operative priority were not considered.

It is also important to note that an IMA is more likely to be used in OPCAB patients compared with conventional CABG patients. This is particularly true for female OPCAB patients. In Brown and colleagues' study [42] the IMA was more commonly used in the OPCAB women as compared with women undergoing conventional CABG (83% vs 76%; $p < 0.001$). Athanasiou and colleagues [41] used an IMA in 92% of women and 93% of men, whereas Capdeville and colleagues [43] used the IMA in 100% of women and 98% of men. Although use of the IMA is unequivocally associated with an improved operative mortality, it may be that the improved results seen in OPCAB women are related to the increased use of an IMA conduit.

The favorable results in women undergoing OPCAB suggest the possibility that avoidance of cardiopulmonary bypass may have a selective benefit for women. Although there is no major gender difference in outcomes associated with valve surgery [45], it appears unlikely that the pump itself plays a major role.

CONCLUSION. There is no evidence to firmly establish the superiority of OPCAB over conventional CABG in the female patient.

IDEAL CLINICAL PRACTICE. The indications for OPCAB surgery are the same for women as for men. (Class IIa, Level B.)

Optimization of Thyroxine Treatment for Women With Hypothyroidism

Hypothyroidism is associated with impaired contractility and an enhanced risk for myocardial infarction. Hypothyroid patients undergoing cardiac surgery may have altered peripheral thyroid hormone metabolism that contributes to this impaired myocardial function.

In the population undergoing CABG, there is a higher incidence of hypothyroidism in women as compared with men [46]. Furthermore, the impact of this altered physiologic state may be magnified in women. Zindrou and colleagues [21] found a CABG mortality rate of 16.7% in women requiring thyroid replacement therapy. An inverse relationship between CABG operative mortality and both levothyroxine dose and free thyroxine concentration was found in women, but not in men. The operative mortality for hypothyroid men did not differ from that of euthyroid men. Perioperative administration of thyroid hormone or 3,5-diiodothyropropionic acid appears to afford considerable cardiac benefit to these patients [47]. Vigilant perioperative therapy to treat the hypothyroid state in women may serve to minimize the extraordinarily high CABG mortality seen in this important subset of patients.

CONCLUSION. Low intraoperative levels of levothyroxine and free thyroxine are associated with a high CABG mortality in hypothyroid women.

IDEAL CLINICAL PRACTICE. Hypothyroid women undergoing CABG are maintained in a euthyroid state during surgery. (Class IIa, Level C.)

Consideration of Preoperative Hormone Replacement Therapy

The use of hormone replacement therapy (HRT) to attain potentially beneficial cardiovascular effects in women is quite controversial, but there is some evidence that it may be associated with a reduction in CABG operative mortality. A Texas Heart Institute study found that female gender without HRT was an independent risk factor for CABG operative mortality [48]. Women who did not receive HRT experienced a 6.7% mortality, whereas women receiving HRT had a 2.3% mortality ($p < 0.01$). The mortality was 2.7% for men. Another study found that CABG mortality for women treated with HRT was significantly better than that of women not treated (2.7% vs 7.4%) [49], but HRT was not a significant predictor of mortality when multivariate analysis was carried out.

Importantly, patients in the HRT group received an IMA conduit significantly more often than those not receiving HRT ($p < 0.003$). Therefore one must ask whether the reduced mortality was due to HRT or to the use of an IMA.

A decision to use HRT must be weighed against the well-recognized complications [50] that may be associated with HRT. It should be mentioned that the most recent American College of Cardiology and American Heart Association practice guideline for CABG [46] does not recommend hormone replacement for women undergoing CABG. In fact this guideline recommends that women on HRT should have the hormonal therapy discontinued if CABG is undertaken.

CONCLUSION. Hormone replacement therapy is linked to several complications including serious thromboembolic events. Its use in CABG procedures is of questionable value.

IDEAL CLINICAL PRACTICE. HRT is not used for postmenopausal women undergoing CABG. (Class III, Level B.)

Conclusion

Perioperative practice patterns offer several opportunities to improve CABG outcomes, particularly in women. In contrast to other CABG guidelines that cover the field in a broader and more general scope [46], this guideline focuses specifically on those measures that surgeons can control. The STS does not consider the recommendations in this guideline to constitute the only acceptable approach to patient management. However, surgeons are encouraged to give due consideration to the fact that these recommendations are well-accepted measures that have been extensively reviewed and analyzed with firm adherence to an evidence-based approach.

The STS practice guidelines are intended to assist physicians and other health care providers in clinical decision making by describing a range of generally acceptable approaches for the diagnosis, management, or prevention of specific diseases or conditions. These guidelines should not be considered inclusive of all proper methods of care or exclusive of other methods of care reasonably directed at obtaining the same results. Moreover, these guidelines are subject to change over time without notice. The ultimate judgment regarding the care of a particular patient must be made by the physician in light of the individual circumstances presented by the patient.

References

1. Carey JS, Cukingnan RA, Singer LKM. Health status after myocardial revascularization: inferior status in women. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1995;59:112-7.
2. Edwards FH, Carey JS, Grover FL, Bero JW, Hartz RS. Impact of gender on coronary bypass operative mortality. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1998;66:125-31.
3. Edwards FH, Clark RE, Schwartz M. Coronary artery bypass grafting: The Society of Thoracic Surgeons National Database experience. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1994;57:12-9.
4. Hammar N, Sandberg E, Larsen FF, Ivert T. Comparison of early and late mortality in men and women after isolated coronary artery bypass graft surgery in Stockholm, Sweden 1980 to 1989. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1997;29:659-64.
5. Khan SS, Nessim S, Gray R, et al. Increased mortality of women in coronary artery bypass surgery: evidence for referral bias. *Ann Int Med* 1990;112:561-7.
6. O'Connor GT, Morton JR, Diehl MJ, et al. Differences between men and women in hospital mortality associated with coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Circulation* 1993;88(1):2104-10.
7. Vaccarino V, Abramson JL, Veledar E, Weintraub WS. Sex differences in hospital mortality after coronary artery bypass surgery. *Circulation* 2002;105:1176-81.
8. Woods SE, Noble G, Smith JM, Hasselfeld K. The influence of gender in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft surgery: an eight year prospective hospitalized cohort study. *J Am Coll Surg* 2003;196:428-34.
9. Zitser-Gurevich Y, Simchen E, Galai N, Mandel M. Effect of perioperative complications on excess mortality among women after coronary bypass: The Israeli Coronary Bypass Graft study (ISCAB). *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2002;123:517-24.
10. Hannan EL, Bernard HR, O'Donnell JF. Gender differences in mortality rates for coronary artery bypass surgery. *Am Heart J* 1992;123:866-72.

11. Abramov D, Tamariz MG, Sever JY, et al. The influence of gender on the outcome of coronary artery bypass surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2000;70:800-6.
12. Aldea GS, Gaudiani JM, Shapira OM, et al. Effect of gender on postoperative outcomes and hospital stays after coronary artery bypass grafting. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1999;67:1097-103.
13. Jacobs AK, Kelsey SF, Brooks MM, et al. Better outcome for women compared with men undergoing coronary revascularization: a report from the Bypass Angioplasty Revascularization Investigation (BARI). *Circulation* 1998;98:1279-85.
14. Koch CG, Khandwala F, Nussmeier N, Blackstone EH. Gender and outcomes after coronary artery bypass grafting: a propensity-matched comparison. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2003;126:2032-43.
15. Mickleborough LL, Takagi Y, Maruyama H, Sun Z, Mohamed S. Is sex a factor in determining operative risk for aortocoronary bypass graft surgery? *Circulation* 1995;92(Suppl II):II80-4.
16. The Society of Thoracic Surgeons National Adult Cardiac Surgery Database. Duke Clinical Research Institute, personal communication. January 2004.
17. American Heart Association. 2005 Heart and Stroke Statistical Update. American Heart Association 2004.
18. Koch CG, Khandwala F, Nussmeier N, Blackstone EH. Gender profiling in coronary artery bypass grafting. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2003;126:2044-51.
19. Koch CG, Weng YS, Zhou SX, et al. Prevalence of risk factors, and not gender per se, determines short- and long-term survival after coronary artery bypass surgery. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2003;17:585-93.
20. Thomas JL, Braus PA. Coronary artery disease in women: a historical perspective. *Arch Intern Med* 1998;158:333-7.
21. Zindrou D, Taylor KM, Bagger JP. Excess coronary artery bypass mortality among women with hypothyroidism. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2002;74:2121-5.
22. Edwards FH, Clark RE, Schwartz M. The impact of internal mammary artery conduits on operative mortality in coronary revascularization. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1994;57:27-32.
23. Leavitt BJ, O'Connor GT, Olmstead EM, et al. Use of the internal mammary artery graft and in-hospital mortality associated with coronary artery bypass grafting. *Circulation* 1998;98:130.
24. Lawton JS, Brister SJ, Petro KR, Dullum M. Surgical revascularization in women: unique intraoperative factors and considerations. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2003;126:936-8.
25. Dignan RJ, Yeh T, Dyke CM, Lutz HA, Wechsler AS. The influence of age and sex on human internal mammary artery size and reactivity. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1992;53:792-7.
26. Mickleborough LL, Carson S, Ivanov J. Gender differences in quality of distal vessels: effect on results of coronary artery bypass grafting. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2003;126:950-8.
27. Cohn LH. Use of the internal mammary artery graft and in-hospital mortality and other adverse outcomes associated with coronary artery bypass surgery. *Circulation* 2001;103:483-4.
28. Leavitt BJ, O'Connor GT, Olmstead EM, et al. Use of the internal mammary artery graft and in-hospital mortality and other adverse outcomes associated with coronary artery bypass surgery. *Circulation* 2001;103:507-12.
29. Furnary AP, Zerr KJ, Grunkemeier GL, Heller CA. Hyperglycemia: a predictor of mortality following CABG in diabetics. *Circulation* 1999;100(Suppl):1591.
30. Szabo Z, Hakanson E, Svedjeholm R. Early postoperative outcome and medium-term survival in 540 diabetic and 2239 nondiabetic patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2002;74:712-9.
31. Estrada CA, Young JA, Nifong LW, Chitwood WR. Outcomes and perioperative hyperglycemia in patients with or without diabetes mellitus undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2003;75:1392-9.
32. Furnary AP, Zerr KJ, Grunkemeier G, Starr AS. Continuous intravenous insulin infusion reduces the incidence of deep sternal wound infection in diabetic patients after cardiac surgical procedures. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1999;67:352-60.
33. McAlister FA, Man J, Bistriz L, Amad H, Tandon P. Diabetes and coronary artery bypass surgery: an examination of perioperative glycemic control and outcomes. *Diabetes Care* 2003;26(5):1518-24.
34. Shroyer ALW, Coombs LP, Peterson ED, et al. The Society of Thoracic Surgeons: 30-day operative mortality and morbidity risk models. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2003;75:1856-65.
35. Zerr KJ, Furnary AP, Grunkemeier GL, et al. Glucose control lowers the risk of wound infection in diabetics after open heart operations. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1997;63:356-61.
36. Furnary AP, Guangqiang G, Grunkemeier GL, et al. Continuous insulin infusion reduces mortality in patients with diabetes undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2003;125:1007-21.
37. Defoe GR, Ross CS, Olmstead EM, et al. Lowest hematocrit on bypass and adverse outcomes associated with coronary artery bypass grafting. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2001;71:769-76.
38. Habib RH, Zacharias A, Schwann TA, Riordan CJ, Durham SJ, Shah A. Adverse effects of low hematocrit during cardiopulmonary bypass in the adult: should current practice be changed? *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2003;125:1438-50.
39. Utley JR, Wilde EF, Leyland SA. Intraoperative blood transfusion is a major risk factor for coronary artery bypass grafting in women. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1995;60:570-4.
40. Engoren MC, Habib RH, Zacharias A, Schwann TA, Riordan CJ, Durham SJ. Effect of blood transfusion on long-term survival after cardiac operation. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2002;74(4):1180-6.
41. Athanasiou T, Al-Ruzzeq A, Del Stanbridge R, et al. Is the female gender an independent predictor of adverse outcome after off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting? *Ann Thorac Surg* 2003;75:1153-60.
42. Brown PP, Mack MJ, Simon AW, et al. Outcomes experience with off-pump coronary artery bypass surgery in women. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2002;74:2113-20.
43. Capdeville M, Chamogeogarkis T, Lee JH. Effect of gender on outcomes of beating heart operations. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2001;72:S1022-5.
44. Mack MJ, Brown P, Houser F, et al. On-pump versus off-pump coronary artery bypass surgery in a matched sample of women: a comparison of outcomes. *Circulation* 2004;110(11 Suppl 1):II1-6.
45. Edwards FH, Peterson ED, Coombs LP, et al. Prediction of operative mortality after valve replacement surgery. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2001;37:885-92.
46. Eagle KA, Guyton RA, Davidoff R, et al. ACC/AHA 2004 guideline update for coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Committee to Update the 1999 Guidelines for Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery). *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2004;44:1146-54.
47. Fazio S, Palmieri EA, Lombardi G, Biondi B. Effects of thyroid hormone on the cardiovascular system. *Recent Progress in Hormone Research* 2004;59:31-50.
48. Nussmeier NA, Marino MR, Vaughn WK. Hormone replacement therapy is associated with improved survival in women undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2002;124:1225-9.
49. Shackelford DP, Daniels S, Hoffman MK, Chitwood R. Estrogen therapy in women undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. Effect on surgical complications. *Obstet Gynecol* 2000;95:732-5.
50. Hulley S, Grady D, Bush T, Furberg C, Herrington D, Riggs B. Randomized trial of estrogen plus progestin for secondary prevention of heart disease in postmenopausal women. Heart and Estrogen/progestin Replacement Study (HERS) Research Group. *JAMA* 1998;280:605-13.

Appendix

Classification of Recommendations

Class I	Conditions for which there is evidence or general agreement that a given procedure is useful and effective, or both.
Class II	Conditions for which there is conflicting evidence or a divergence of opinion about the usefulness and efficacy of a procedure, or both. IIa Weight of evidence favors usefulness and efficacy IIb Usefulness and efficacy is less well established by evidence
Class III	Conditions for which there is evidence or general agreement that the procedure is not useful and effective, or both.

Level of Evidence

Level A	Data derived from multiple randomized clinical trials
Level B	Data derived from a single randomized trial or from nonrandomized trials
Level C	Consensus expert opinion
